

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,961	02/28/2004	Peter M. Kowalik	2004CP2	6693	
7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER			
Charles M. Cleaveland, President			FISHMAN, MARINA		
Cleaveland/Price Inc. 14000 Route 993 Trafford, PA 15085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/788,961	KOWALIK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marina Fishman	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 February 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22-30 and 32 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21,31 and 33-47 is/are rejected. 						
Application	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc∈						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 02/28/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1 - 47 are pending in the case and are being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 9, 10, 18, 36 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is meant by "the first mentioned tapered rod" recited in claim 9, lines 3-4; "first mentioned rod" recited in claim 10, line 5; "first mentioned rod" recited in claim 18, line5, and "the first mentioned non-metal rod" recited in claim 36, line 3.

In claim 36, it is not clear what is meant by "one or more additional and spaced from each other and from the first rod at the outer ends of one or more additional rods". Claim 41 also has similar recitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 – 21, 31, 33, 34, 39, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Demissy [US 5,369,234] in view of Cline [US 4,080,643].

Regarding Claims 1 - 5 and 31 Demissy [Figure 1-13], discloses a whip for an arc-extinguishing device, comprising a first tapered flexible rod [16] with a tip and a blunt end. However, Demissy does not disclose the rod to be nonmetallic and also a conductive path on a length of the first rod surfaces. Cline [Figures 1-3] discloses a discharge rod made from fiberglass material [Column 3, lines 12-14] with conductive carbon coating on the exterior surface as well as a conductive ribbon on the outer surface [Column 3, lines 13-15; Column 4, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to non-conductive rod, with conductive outer surface in Demissy, as suggested by Cline in order to make whip with relatively low coefficient of thermal expansion and stable resistance [Cline, Column 1, lines 50-55].

Regarding Claim 6, use of fiber reinforced plastic is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fiber reinforced plastic in place of nylon as a rod material so as to achieve better strength. Regarding claims 7 and 8, the conductive ribbon of Cline comprises epoxy adhesive and is applied to the outer surface, making the outer surface of the rod conductive. The conductive ribbon is taken as metal braid.

Regarding Claims 9 -12, Cline discloses the rod made from two parts, first metal portion [22] with blunt end and a second rod [20] with conductive outer surface, and both are in contact with each other. Also, for Claim 11, the second part being made from

Application/Control Number: 10/788,961

Art Unit: 2832

nylon, has different composition than the first part. Cline discloses a gap between the conductive portion [60] of the second part and the all metal first part [22], it would have been obvious to provide continuity between the first and second metal parts to achieve electrical continuity.

Regarding Claims 13 and 14, Cline discloses a conductive rod [40] in the second part [20], the conductive rods serves as a spine. Regarding Claim 15 -18, though the second part is not disclosed with spine having taper in the direction of the taper of the rod, it would have been obvious to provide tapered spine, so as to adjust to outer surface of the second part. Regarding Claim 19, since the second part is composed of metal and non-metal components, it will have greater specific strength.

Regarding Claim 20 and 21, Demissy and Cline discloses the claimed invention except for fiber reinforced plastic and beryllium copper as materials for the second part. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use beryllium copper for spine and fiber reinforced plastic for non-metal part, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claim 33, Demissy, discloses an air break switch comprising: first and second main switch contacts [3, 6] movable relative to each other to produce a switch opening or a switch closing, a whip and a latch [16, 17] conductively connected with respect to one of the main switch contacts, the whip having a structure including, at least in a tip-end portion that is last to separate from the latch [Figure 6] in a switch

Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

opening. However, the Demissy does not disclose whip being made from non-metal rod with conductive path comprising at least one conductor selected from the group consisting of metal braid, a metal foil, a metal sheath and a metal wire. Cline [Figures 1-3] discloses a discharge rod made from fiberglass material [Column 3, lines 12-14] with conductive carbon coating on the exterior surface as well as a conductive ribbon on the outer surface [Column 3, lines 13-15; Column 4, lines 1-4]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to non-conductive rod, with conductive outer surface in Demissy, as suggested by Cline in order to make whip with relatively low coefficient of thermal expansion and stable resistance [Cline, Column 1, lines 50-55]. Cline discloses a gap between the conductive portion [60] of the second part and the all metal first part [22], it would have been obvious to provide continuity between the first and second metal parts to achieve electrical continuity.

Regarding claim 34, use of fiber reinforced plastic is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fiber reinforced plastic in place of nylon as a rod material so as to achieve better strength.

Regarding Claims 39 and 40, the whip has initial contact region [Figure 9] that is first to contact the latch during switch opening and closing, this region, due to its proximity to the pivot point will be a non-metal region [base of part 60, close to part 22] of Cline and hence has relatively high weight and durability and for claim 40, the initial

Application/Control Number: 10/788,961

Art Unit: 2832

contact region has conductive metal 60 and the second part is made from non-metallic material. The selection of fiber-reinforced plastic is discussed above.

6. Claims 35, 38, and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Demissy [US 5,369,234] in view of Cline [US 4,080,643] and Outlaw et al. [US 3,955,303].

Regarding Claims 41, 42, 44, 46 and 47, Demissy and Cline discloses all the claim limitations, except for whip made from one or more additional non-conductive rods. Outlaw et al. [Figure 1] disclose a hollow telescoping fiberglass rod with a plurality of segments. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use telescoping fiber glass rod, with conductive outer surface in Demissy, as suggested by Cline and Outlaw et al., in order to make extendible whip with relatively low coefficient of thermal expansion and stable resistance [Cline, Column 1, lines 50-55].

Regarding claims 35, 38, 43 and 45, Demissy, Cline and Outlaw et al. disclose all the elements of the invention except use of wheel with latch for contact with the whip rod assembly. Use of wheel for reducing mechanical friction is well known in the art and therefore, it would have been a matter of design choice to use wheel with the latch and provide groove in the wheel to guide the whip rod assembly, so that during operation of the switch, the whip rod assembly can quickly slide against the wheel for quick disconnection.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 22-30 and 32 are allowed.

Regarding Claim 22, the prior art of record does not teach or suggest, in combination with the claimed elements, a second contact element that includes a rod portion having an end proximate to which there is joined with the rod portion a first end of a pin on which a roller, with an outer rim, is located and free to rotate, a second end of the pin being joined with a cam bar, the rod portion, pin, roller, and cam bar all being electrically conductive.

Regarding Claim 27, the prior art of record does not teach or suggest, in combination with the claimed elements "the latch including conductive members comprising a rod portion connected at one end with the other of the contacts and having a second end proximate to which a pin is attached to the rod portion with a roller free to rotate thereon, the latch further comprising a cam bar attached to the pin on a side of the roller opposite the rod portion."

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Fishman whose telephone number is 571-272-1991. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 M-T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,961

Art Unit: 2832

Page 8

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Fishman November 3, 2004 LINCOLLY DOLOVAN PRIMAN E VAMINER PRIMAN E VAMINER